



Building Committee Meeting Minutes  
Monday, November 03, 2014 – 2:30 p.m.

**Call to Order** – Scott Coleman

In attendance for the meeting was: Scott Coleman, Dave Gulden, Paul Hammersmith, Steve McCall, and Heather Martin.

**New Business:**

1. Discussion was held in regards to the letters that were sent to the County Commissioners in regards to possible county locations available for a future LUC location but no official response was received from the Commissioners other than what was shared at the October 2014 Executive Board Meeting.
2. Steve – I've been asked if we need to have a larger office with a conference room or could we just have a smaller office and use conference rooms that are available to us throughout the three counties? I was thinking that whoever the President is, their county would host the meetings for that year. That might help with the cost, you'd be looking at a much smaller building.
3. Scott – I know the staff has brought up in the past, there is a lot of work for the staff to locate to a different location for meeting day.
4. Discussion was held in regards to the make-up of the Building Committee and if it should be larger.
5. Scott recommended proceeding with taking a feasibility look at the property the Logan County Commissioner's own and consider the site of the current building. Do we agree that the future is razing this structure and rebuilding? We plan for an office of 3,000 square foot with the amenities that are needed.
6. Paul – In the interim if we decide to do something different, there is a solution in Marysville for temporary spacing. I think once we take a look at what the Logan County Commissioner's offered it isn't going to be as feasible as what we do have now. I think we're on the same page to keep advancing forward.
7. Discussion was held in regards to demolition of the current location.
8. Scott – We need to do some investigation about site lay-out at the Commissioner's location; maybe need to have some fill-in over there due to the springs to build up the location.
9. Paul – Assuming that we gain consensus of staying at this location; what are the next steps? Do we release a request for proposal, etc? Do we look for preliminary cost information so we can start honing in on what we're going to do.
10. Scott – Maybe we need to investigate the Uni-built option further to see if it really will work for what we need. We need to look at the two sites. We need to have this committee meet with a represent from someone from Uni-built and have them give us more detail on what can or cannot be done.



## Logan-Union-Champaign regional planning commission

Director: Dave Gulden, AICP

11. Paul – Do they allow for a design process for Union County? What hurdles could there be? We need to find the hurdles and clear them before we even get to them.
12. Scott – If we meet with Uni-built and they say they can't do what we need, then we know to go with an architect.
13. Paul – We could meet with Dublin Building Systems also to do a comparison. They do mostly steel but they will do timber. They build on site. I felt the renovation at the bare minimum in Karen's report was good, just to make it functional but for the new building cost it was off. I can't imagine that we would spend \$500,000 on a new building.
14. Scott – I'm open to adding someone to the Building Committee if that's what we decide.
15. Need to research the building, who owns, etc.
  - a. After the meeting the contract for the LUC Office was found. It shows the building is owned by all three counties who jointly purchased the building in 2000.
16. Heather will contact Uni-built and Dublin Building Systems to have them meeting with the Building Committee on November 13 at 10 a.m.; or November 17 at 10 a.m.

9676 E. Foundry St, PO Box 219  
East Liberty, Ohio 43319

• Phone: 937-666-3431 • Fax: 937-666-6203  
• Email: [luc-rpc@lucplanning.com](mailto:luc-rpc@lucplanning.com) • Web: [www.lucplanning.com](http://www.lucplanning.com)